

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/009,980	BENEDI BENITO ET AL.
	Examiner Teresa E Strzelecka	Art Unit 1637

All Participants:

(1) Teresa E Strzelecka.

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____.

(2) Michele Katz.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 18 October 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See *Continuation Sheet*

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Teresa Strzelecka

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Ms. Katz was contacted to find out if a response to an Election?Restriction Requirement mailed September 17, 2004 was filed, since the requirement contained a typographical error in claim numbering for Group I (claims 1-14 instead of 8-14). Ms. Malleck, Ms. Katz's assistant, called back with the information that the response has not been filed. A supplemental Election/Restriction Requirement will be mailed out to Applicants this week..